1	JOSEPH SAVERI LAW FIRM, INC.		
2	Joseph R. Saveri (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) Joshua P. Davis (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)		
3	Matthew S. Weiler (admitted pro hac vice)		
	Kevin E. Rayhill (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) 555 Montgomery Street, Suite 1210		
4	San Francisco, California 94111		
5	Phone: (415) 500-6800/Fax: (415) 395-9940 jsaveri@saverilawfirm.com		
6	jdavis@saverilawfirm.com		
7	mweiler@saverilawfirm.com		
8	krayhill@saverilawfirm.com		
	Co-Lead Counsel for the Classes and	CC.	
9	Attorneys for Individual and Representative Plainte Cung Le, Nathan Quarry, Jon Fitch, Luis Javier Vo	,,,	
10	Brandon Vera, and Kyle Kingsbury		
11			
12	UNITED STATES D	ISTRICT COURT	
13	DISTRICT O	F NEVADA	
14	Cung Le, Nathan Quarry, Jon Fitch, Brandon Vera, Luis Javier Vazquez, and Kyle	Case No.: 2:15-cv-01045 RFB-(PAL)	
15	Kingsbury on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,	[PROPOSED] ORDER DENYING ZUFFA, LLC'S MOTION TO SEAL PORTIONS	
16		OF ZUFFA'S OPPOSITION TO	
	Plaintiffs,	PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO CHALLENGE ATTORNEY-CLIENT	
17	vs.	PRIVILEGE (ECF NO. 330) AND RELATED EXHIBITS	
18	Zuffa, LLC, d/b/a Ultimate Fighting		
19	Championship and UFC,		
20	Defendant.		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

[PROPOSED] ORDER DENYING ZUFFA, LLC'S MOTION TO SEAL PORTIONS OF ZUFFA'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO CHALLENGE ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE (ECF NO. 330) AND RELATED EXHIBITS

Case 2:15-cv-01045-RFB-BNW Document 337-1 Filed 01/10/17 Page 2 of 2

1	Pending before this Court is Zuffa, LLC's Motion to Seal Portions of Zuffa's Opposition to
2	Plaintiffs' Motion to Challenge Attorney-Client Privilege (ECF No. 320) and Related Exhibits
3	(ECF No. 330). Zuffa has proposed sealing Plaintiffs' motion and exhibits on the bases that they
4	contain confidential information and that they contain privileged attorney-client communications.
5	Plaintiffs have opposed sealing the documents on the basis that the documents are not privileged,
6	and because their Motion To Challenge Attorney-Client Privilege (ECF No. 320) is pending before
7	this Court. Plaintiffs have taken no position regarding Zuffa's designation of the documents as
8	Confidential and do not oppose sealing the documents on that basis, should the Court deem those
9	designations appropriate. Having considered the parties' submissions to the Court:
10	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT Zuffa, LLC's Motion to Seal is DENIED to the extent it
11	is based on purported attorney-client privilege. Zuffa's motion to seal the documents because Zuffa
12	has designated them Confidential is [The Clerk of the Court shall file under
13	seal the documents and portions of the Motion to Challenge Attorney-Client Privilege specified in
14	Zuffa's Motion.]
15	IT IS SO ORDERED:
15 16	
	United States Magistrate Judge Dated:
16	United States Magistrate Judge
16 17	United States Magistrate Judge
16 17 18	United States Magistrate Judge
16 17 18 19	United States Magistrate Judge
16 17 18 19 20	United States Magistrate Judge
116 117 118 119 120 121 121 131	United States Magistrate Judge
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	United States Magistrate Judge
116 117 118 119 120 121 122 123 123 134 145 156	United States Magistrate Judge
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	United States Magistrate Judge
116 117 118 119 120 121 122 123 124 125 125 136 137	United States Magistrate Judge